



Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Risk Reduction: Management of Lipids

Contributing authors on behalf of Team Best Practices:

Jackson T. Wright, MD, PhD, Case Western Reserve University

Sanjay Gandhi, MD, Case Western Reserve University

Randy Wexler, MD, MPH, The Ohio State University

Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) is the leading cause of death in the U.S. for people with diabetes mellitus (DM). In 2015, the annual cost of ASCVD was \$126 billion with approximately \$37.3 billion of this cost associated with DM.¹⁻³

The management of elevated lipids in patients with and without DM is similar, with the major exception being the recommendation for statin therapy in all patients over age 40 with DM.^{3,4} For individuals with DM, the American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommends yearly assessment of risk factors including obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and smoking; family history for premature coronary disease or chronic kidney disease; and the presence of albuminuria.³ The following document summarizes the management of lipids for prevention of ASCVD in patients with and without DM based on current guidelines.^{3,4,5}

Primary and Secondary ASCVD Prevention

Primary Prevention

In adults 40 to 75 years of age without ASCVD, the first step in developing a primary prevention strategy is to assess the long-term ASCVD risk using pooled cohort equations (PCEs).⁴ The American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines (**Figure 1**) recommend using risk enhancing factors in individuals with borderline (5% to 7.5%) or intermediate (7.5% to <20%) 10-year ASCVD risk by PCE (**Table 1**). In patients where the risk-benefit of statin therapy is borderline or not clear, a coronary artery calcium (CAC) score may be helpful (**Table 2**).^{4,6-8}

Patients with DM are considered to be at high risk for ASCVD and will benefit from moderate intensity statin therapy for primary prevention. Additional DM-specific risk enhancers and primary prevention therapy recommendations for patients with DM, based on age and risk considerations, are summarized in **Table 1** and **Table 3a**, respectively.



For individuals with DM, the American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommends yearly assessment of risk factors including obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and smoking; family history for premature coronary disease or chronic kidney disease; and the presence of albuminuria.



Secondary Prevention

Patients with established ASCVD, independent of presence of DM, are at high risk for recurrent events (Figure 2). Recent acute coronary syndrome (within the past 12 months), history of myocardial infarction, history of ischemic stroke, and symptomatic peripheral artery disease, are considered major ASCVD events, regardless of DM status. Additional high-risk conditions include being age 65 years and older, heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia, history of prior coronary revascularization, DM, hypertension, chronic kidney disease (eGFR 15-59 ml/min/1.73 m²), congestive heart failure, current smoking, and persistently elevated LDL-C \geq 100 mg/dL. Patients with multiple major ASCVD events or one major ASCVD event plus multiple high-risk conditions are considered to be “very high-risk” patients.⁴ The recommendations for secondary prevention are based on age and risk considerations and are summarized in Table 3b.

ASCVD Risk Reducing Interventions

Lifestyle


Medical therapy to reduce long-term cardiovascular risk should include counseling for nutrition, physical activity, smoking cessation, and psychosocial care of patients with and without DM.⁹ Major cardiovascular guidelines from the ADA,³ AHA,⁴ and American Association of Clinical Endocrinology (AACE),⁵ recommend promoting healthful eating patterns to achieve and maintain ideal body weight and to attain individualized glycemic, blood pressure, and lipid goals. **Lifestyle modifications** including a Mediterranean-style diet,^{10,11} or DASH diet rich in polyunsaturated and monosaturated fats;^{12,13} weight loss of 5% or more of total body weight in individuals who are overweight or obese;¹⁴ and 150 minutes or more of moderate to vigorous intensity aerobic activity per week can improve blood glucose as well as lipids.¹⁵ To maximize the likelihood of success, nutrition plans should consider an individual’s personal preferences as well as social and demographic factors including food insecurity and access.⁹



Statins as a LDL-Cholesterol Lowering Therapy

Statins, or hydroxymethylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) inhibitors, lower cholesterol by inhibiting the rate-limiting step of endogenous cholesterol production. In addition to lifestyle interventions, statin therapy remains the cornerstone of lipid management in all patients. Statins reduce the risk of ASCVD events and cardiovascular mortality in patients with and without DM, both for primary and secondary prevention. A meta-analysis by Cholesterol Treatment Trialist Collaborators¹⁶ of major statin primary and secondary prevention trials (N = 169,138) demonstrated that for every 39 mg/dL reduction in LDL-C, there was a 22% reduction in relative risk of major vascular events and a 10% reduction in all-cause mortality. The effect was significant in patients with different demographic and baseline factors.

Intensity of statin therapy. The 2018 AHA/ACC lipid guidelines⁴ define lipid-lowering treatment targets for statin therapy to reduce LDL cholesterol: $\geq 50\%$ reduction as high intensity, 30% to 49% reduction as moderate intensity, and $< 30\%$ reduction as low intensity (Table 4). The 2020 AACE lipid guidelines⁵ defines lipid-lowering treatment targets based upon LDL targets. These approaches are compared in Table 5.



Side effects and new-onset diabetes mellitus. The incidence of statin-associated side effects is low with most patients being able to tolerate side effects by either lowering the dose or selecting an alternative statin. The most frequent side effect associated with statin use is muscle symptoms such as myalgia (1% to 5% in randomized clinical trials and 5% to 10% in observational studies),⁴ myositis/myopathy, rhabdomyolysis, or statin-associated autoimmune myopathy.^{17, 18} Elevation of liver transaminases is infrequent and statin therapy rarely leads to hepatic failure. Statin use has been shown to increase the risk of new-onset DM in a dose dependent manner. The incidence is more frequent in the presence of other risk factors like body mass index ≥ 30 kg/m², fasting glucose ≥ 100 mg/dL, metabolic syndrome and A1C $\geq 6\%$.¹⁹⁻²² The AHA guidelines⁴ recommend continuing treatment with statin therapy in patients with increased DM risk or new-onset DM with emphasis on net clinical benefit.

Statin therapy and ezetimibe. Ezetimibe is a cholesterol absorption inhibitor that blocks the cholesterol transport Nieman Pick C1-Like 1 (NPC1L1) protein to inhibit intestinal and biliary absorption of cholesterol. Ezetimibe is generally used as an adjunct to statin therapy in very high-risk patients or in patients intolerant of statins. The IMPROVED Reduction of Outcomes: Vytorin Efficacy International Trial (IM-PROVE-IT),²³ involving 18,144 participants with acute coronary syndrome (27.2% with DM), found that adding ezetimibe therapy (10 mg/day) to simvastatin (40 mg/day) led to an incremental lowering of LDL-C and resulted in 2% absolute risk reduction in the primary endpoint of death from cardiovascular causes, major coronary event, or non-fatal myocardial infarction (MI) (34.7% vs. 32.7%, $p = 0.016$).

Statin therapy and PCSK9 inhibitors. Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitors, are human monoclonal antibodies that bind to PCSK9 receptors and reduce the degradation of LDL receptors. Their use is generally reserved for secondary prevention in very high-risk patients with LDL-C ≥ 70 mg/dL on maximally tolerated lipid-lowering therapy.⁴ Evolocumab²⁴ and alirocumab,²⁵ both administered subcutaneously, on a background of maximally tolerated statin therapy was shown to reduce the risk of composite of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke hospitalization of unstable angina, or coronary revascularization (Hazard Ratio [HR], 0.85; 95% Confidence Interval [CI], 0.79 to 0.92).²⁴ The cost effectiveness of PCSK9 inhibitors is low, ranging from \$141,700 to \$450,000 per quality-adjusted life year added.²⁶

Hypertriglyceridemia Treatment

Statin Therapy and Fibrate

The effect of hypertriglyceridemia on cardiovascular risk is unclear; however, patients with severe hypertriglyceridemia (levels ≥ 500 mg/dL) need to be evaluated for secondary causes. Hypertriglyceridemia in patients with DM is often very responsive to improved DM control. Treatment of severe hypertriglyceridemia consists of the combination of diet, lifestyle, and either fibric acid derivatives or omega-3 fatty acids to reduce the risk of pancreatitis.³ In the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) lipid trial,²⁷ involving 5,518 patients with type 2 DM, the combination of fenofibrates with simvastatin as compared to simvastatin alone showed no difference in the composite end point of non-fatal MI, non-fatal stroke, and death from cardiovascular causes ($p = 0.32$).



Statin Therapy and Omega-3 Fatty Acids

The omega-3 fatty acid icosapent ethyl is a highly purified eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) that has been shown to lower triglyceride (TG) levels. In the Reduction of Cardiovascular Events with Icosapent Ethyl–Intervention Trial (REDUCE-IT),²⁸ which followed patients with established cardiovascular disease or DM and other risk factor, icosapent ethyl was shown to reduce ischemic events including cardiovascular death, with triglyceride levels of 135 to 499 mg/dL, on a background of optimal statin therapy. Risk reduction was shown to be independent of triglyceride lowering and suggests a mechanism specific to EPA rather than TG reduction.

Statin Therapy and Niacin

In addition to elevated LDL cholesterol, low levels of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) is an independent predictor of risk for cardiovascular disease. However, no benefit of niacin added to a statin was seen in two recent trials.^{29,30} Current ADA³ and AHA⁴ guidelines do not recommend combination therapy with a statin and niacin.

Table 1. Risk Enhancing Factors for Clinician-Patient Risk Discussion

Family history of premature ASCVD (males <55 years; females <65 years)
Primary hypercholesterolemia (LDL-C 160-189 mg/dL; non-HDL-C 190-219 mg/dL)
Metabolic syndrome*
Chronic kidney disease (eGFR 15-59 mg/min/1.73m ² with or without albuminuria)
Chronic inflammatory conditions like psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis, or HIV/AIDS
History of premature menopause (before age 40 years)
History of pregnancy-associated conditions such as preeclampsia
High-risk race/ethnicity (e.g., South Asian ancestry)
Lipid/Biomarkers
1. Persistently elevated primary hypertriglyceridemia (≥175 mg/dL)
2. Elevated high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (≥2 mg/dL)
3. Elevated lipoprotein(a) ≥50 mg/dL
4. Elevated apolipoprotein B ≥130 mg/dL
5. Ankle-brachial index <0.9
Additional Diabetes-Specific Risk Enhancers
1. Long duration of diabetes (≥10 years for type 2 diabetes and ≥20 years for type 1 diabetes)
2. Albuminuria (≥30 mcg/mg)
3. Neuropathy
4. Retinopathy
*Presence of any three - increased waist circumference, elevated triglycerides ≥175 mg/dL, elevated blood pressure, elevated glucose, and low HDL-C

Adapted from 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol⁴

Table 2. Role of Coronary Artery Calcium Score in Influencing Statin Use

Proposed Decision-Making Approach to Selective Use of Coronary Artery Calcium (CAC) Measurement for Risk Prediction Using 10-year ASCVD Risk Estimate Plus Coronary Artery Calcium Score to Guide Statin Therapy

Patient's 10-year atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk estimate	<5%	5%-7.5%	>7.5%-20%	>20%
Consulting ASCVD risk estimate alone	Statin not recommended	Consider for statin	Recommended statin	Recommended statin
Consulting ASCVD risk estimate alone + CAC				
If CAC score =0	Statin not recommended	Statin not recommended	Statin not recommended	Recommended statin
If CAC score >0	Statin not recommended	Consider for statin	Recommended statin	Recommended statin
Does CAC score modify treatment plan?	<input type="checkbox"/> CAC not effective for this population	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CAC can reclassify risk up or down	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CAC can reclassify risk up or down	<input type="checkbox"/> CAC not effective for this population

Adapted from Greenland, P. et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;72(4):434-47⁸

Table 3a. Primary Prevention of ASCVD in Patients with Diabetes

Age Group	Additional Considerations	Recommendation	COR*
20-39 years	Long duration (≥10 years for type 2 diabetes and ≥20 years for type 1 diabetes), albuminuria ≥30 mcg/mg, estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 ml/min/m ² , retinopathy, neuropathy, and ankle-brachial index <0.9	May be reasonable to initiate statin therapy	IIb
40-75 years	Regardless of estimated 10-year ASCVD risk	Moderate intensity statin therapy	I
40-75 years	LDL-C of 70-189 mg/dL	Assess 10-year risk using race and sex specific pooled cohort equations	IIa
>75 years	Already on statin therapy	Continue with statin therapy	IIa
>75 years	Not on statins	Clinician-patient discussion of risk/benefits	IIb
Any age	Multiple ASCVD risk factors	High intensity statin therapy to reduce LDL-C by ≥50%	IIa
Any age	ASCVD risk ≥20%	Add ezetimibe to statin therapy if a high-intensity statin cannot be tolerated or does not lower LDL-C, as expected, by ≥50%	IIb

*COR = Class (Strength) of Recommendation

Adapted from AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol⁴

Table 3b. Secondary Prevention of ASCVD

Age Group	Additional Considerations	Recommendation	COR*
≤75 years	No contraindications	High intensity statin therapy to reduce LDL-C by ≥50%	I
	High intensity statin therapy contraindicated/ side effects	Moderate intensity statin therapy	I
	Very high risk on maximally tolerated statin, LDL-C>70 mg/dL	Add ezetimibe	I
	Very high risk patients with LDL-C>70 mg/dL despite maximally tolerated LDL-C lowering therapy	Add PCSK9 inhibitor after discussion	IIa
	Clinical ASCVD on maximally tolerated statin, LDL-C>70 mg/dL	Consider adding ezetimibe	IIb
>75 years		High intensity or moderate intensity statin after discussion with the patient	IIa

*COR = Class (Strength) of Recommendation

Adapted from 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol⁴

Table 4. Statin Therapy Dosing for LDL-Cholesterol Reduction

High Intensity (≥50% reduction in LDL Cholesterol)	Moderate Intensity (30%-49% reduction in LDL Cholesterol)	Low Intensity (<30% reduction in LDL Cholesterol)
Atorvastatin 40-80 mg	Atorvastatin 10-20 mg	Simvastatin 10 mg
Rosuvastatin 20-40 mg	Rosuvastatin 5-10 mg	Pravastatin 10-20 mg
	Simvastatin 20-40 mg	Lovastatin 20 mg
	Pravastatin 40-80 mg	Fluvastatin 20-40 mg
	Lovastatin 40-80 mg	
	Fluvastatin XL 80 mg	
	Fluvastatin 40 mg bid	
	Pitvastatin 1-4 mg	

Adapted from 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol⁴

Table 5. Comparison of AACE/ACE and AHA/ACC Approaches to Lipid Management
AACE/ACE Risk Categories and LDL-C Goal

Categories		LDL-C Goal
Extreme Risk	Progressive ASCVD including unstable angina Established clinical ASCVD plus DM or CKD ≥3 or HeFH History of premature ASCVD (<55 year, male; <65 years, female)	<55 mg/dL
Very High Risk	Established clinical ASCVD or recent hospitalization for ACS, carotid, or peripheral vascular disease, or 10-year risk >20% Diabetes with ≥1 risk factor(s)* CKD ≥3 with albuminuria HeFH	<70 mg/dL
High Risk	≥2 risk factors and 10-year risk 10%-20% Diabetes or CKD ≥3 with no other risk factors	<100 mg/dL
Moderate Risk	<2 risk factors and 10-year risk <10%	<100 mg/dL
Low Risk	No risk factors	<130 mg/dL
Risk Factors* Advancing age, elevated non-HDL-C, elevated LDL-C, DM, HTN, CKD, smoking, family history of ASCVD		


Adapted from American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology Guidelines for Management of Dyslipidemia and Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease⁵

AHA/ACC Categories and LDL-C Goal/Recommendation

Categories		LDL-C Goal/Recommendation	Comments
Primary Prevention Mostly for age 40-75 years			
ASCVD High Risk >20%		High intensity statin (LDL-C reduction ≥50%)	
ASCVD Intermediate Risk (≥7.5% to <20%)		Moderate intensity if risk enhancers^	
ASCVD Borderline Risk (5% to <7.5%)		Risk discussion if risk enhancers^	
ASCVD Low Risk (5%)		Lifestyle changes	
LDL-C ≥190 mg/dL		High intensity statin (LDL-C reduction ≥50%)	
Diabetes Mellitus	Ages 20-39	Consider statin therapy if risk enhancers^ present	
	Ages 40-74	Moderate intensity statin (LDL-C reduction 30%-49%)	
Secondary Prevention			
ASCVD Very High Risk	Multiple major ASCVD events (recent ACS, history of MI, history of ischemic stroke, symptomatic peripheral artery disease) and multiple high risk conditions*	High intensity statin (LDL-C reduction ≥50% and LDL-C <70 mg/dL)	Consider adding PCSK9i to ezetimibe and maximal statin if LDL-C ≥70 mg/dL
ASCVD Not At Very High Risk	Age ≤75 years	High intensity statin (LDL-C reduction ≥50% and LDL-C <70 mg/dL)	If LDL-C ≥70 mg/dL can add ezetimibe
	Age >75 years	Moderate or high intensity statin	


Adapted from 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol⁴

Figure 1. Primary Prevention Flow Chart



Adapted from 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol⁴

Figure 2. Secondary Prevention Flow Chart



Adapted from 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol⁴

Colors correspond to Class Recommendation in Table 2. Clinical ASCVD consists of acute coronary syndrome (ACS), those with history of myocardial infarction (MI), stable or unstable angina or coronary other arterial revascularization, stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA), or peripheral artery disease (PAD) including aortic aneurysm, of atherosclerotic origin. Very high risk includes a history of multiple major ASCVD events or 1 major ASCVD event and multiple high risk conditions (Table 1). ACS indicates acute coronary syndrome; ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; LDL-C low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MI, myocardial infarction; and PCSK9i, PCSK9 inhibitor.

References:

1. American Diabetes Association. Economic costs of diabetes in the U.S. in 2017. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41:917-928. doi.org/10.2337/dc18-0007.
2. Virani SS, Alonso A, Aparicio HJ, et al. American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2021 Update: A report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2021 Feb 23;143(8):e254-e743. doi.org/10.1161/cir.0000000000000950.
3. American Diabetes Association. Addendum. 10. Cardiovascular Disease and Risk Management: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2021. *Diabetes Care*. 2021;44(Suppl. 1):S125-S150. doi.org/10.2337/dc21-ad09a.
4. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APHA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73:e285-e350. doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.11.003.
5. Handelsman Y, Jellinger PS, Guerin CK, et al. Consensus statement by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology on the management of dyslipidemia and prevention of cardiovascular disease algorithm - 2020 Executive Summary. *Endocr Pract*. 2020;26:1196-1224. doi.org/10.4158/ep171764.appg.
6. Cohen R, Budoff M, McClelland RL, et al. Significance of a positive family history for coronary heart disease in patients with a zero coronary artery calcium score (from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis). *Am J Cardiol*. 2014;114:1210-4. doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2014.07.043.
7. Karmali KN, Goff DC, Jr., Ning H, Lloyd-Jones DM. A systematic examination of the 2013 ACC/AHA pooled cohort risk assessment tool for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64:959-68. doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2014.06.1186.
8. Greenland P, Blaha MJ, Budoff MJ, et al. Coronary calcium score and cardiovascular risk. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;72:434-447. doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.05.027.
9. American Diabetes Association. 5. Facilitating behavior change and well-being to improve health outcomes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2021. *Diabetes Care*. 2021;44:S53-S72. doi.org/10.2337/dc21-s005.
10. Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvado J, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a mediterranean diet supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil or nuts. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378:e34. doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1800389.
11. Bloomfield HE, Koeller E, Greer N, et al. Effects on health outcomes of a mediterranean diet with no restriction on fat intake: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. 2016;165:491-500. doi.org/10.7326/m16-0361.
12. Sacks FM, Lichtenstein AH, Wu JHY, et al. Dietary fats and cardiovascular disease: a presidential advisory from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2017;136:e1-e23. doi.org/10.1161/cir.0000000000000510.
13. Jacobson TA, Maki KC, Orringer CE, et al. National Lipid Association recommendations for patient-centered management of dyslipidemia: part 2. *J Clin Lipidol*. 2015;9:S1-122 e1. doi.org/10.1016/j.jacl.2015.02.003.
14. Franz MJ, Boucher JL, Rutten-Ramos S and VanWormer JJ. Lifestyle weight-loss intervention outcomes in overweight and obese adults with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2015;115:1447-63. doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2015.02.031.
15. Colberg SR, Sigal RJ, Yardley JE, et al. Physical activity/exercise and diabetes: a position statement of the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care*. 2016;39:2065-2079. doi.org/10.2337/dc16-1728.
16. Cholesterol Treatment Trialists Collaboration, Baigent C, Blackwell L, et al. Efficacy and safety of more intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol: a meta-analysis of data from 170,000 participants in 26 randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2010;376:1670-81. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(10)61350-5.
17. Stoes ES, Thompson PD, Corsini A, et al. Statin-associated muscle symptoms: impact on statin therapy-European Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Panel Statement on Assessment, Aetiology and Management. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36:1012-22. doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehv043.
18. Thompson PD, Panza G, Zaleski A, Taylor B. Statin-associated side effects. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;67:2395-2410. doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2016.02.071.
19. Navarese EP, Buffon A, Andreotti F, et al. Meta-analysis of impact of different types and doses of statins on new-onset diabetes mellitus. *Am J Cardiol*. 2013;111:1123-30. doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2012.12.037.
20. Ridker PM, Pradhan A, MacFadyen JG, et al. Cardiovascular benefits and diabetes risks of statin therapy in primary prevention: an analysis from the JUPITER trial. *Lancet*. 2012;380:565-71. https://dx.doi.org/10.1016%2FS0140-6736(12)61190-8.
21. Preiss D, Seshasai SR, Welsh P, et al. Risk of incident diabetes with intensive-dose compared with moderate-dose statin therapy: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2011;305:2556-64. doi:10.1001/jama.2011.860.
22. Sattar N, Preiss D, Murray HM, et al. Statins and risk of incident diabetes: a collaborative meta-analysis of randomised statin trials. *Lancet*. 2010;375:735-42. doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(09)61965-6.
23. Cannon CP, Blazing MA, Giugliano RP, et al. Ezetimibe added to statin therapy after acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372:2387-97. doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1410489.
24. Sabatine MS, Giugliano RP, Keech AC, et al. Evolocumab and clinical outcomes in patients with cardiovascular disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376:1713-1722. doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1615664.
25. Schwartz GG, Steg PG, Szarek M, et al. Alirocumab and cardiovascular outcomes after acute coronary syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379:2097-2107. doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1801174.
26. Hlatky MA and Kazi DS. PCSK9 inhibitors: economics and policy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;70:2677-2687. doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2017.10.001.
27. Accord Study Group, Ginsberg HN, Elam MB, et al. Effects of combination lipid therapy in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362:1563-74. doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1001282.
28. Bhatt DL, Steg PG, Miller M, et al. Cardiovascular risk reduction with icosapent ethyl for hypertriglyceridemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380:11-22. doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1812792.
29. HPS2-THRIVE Collaborative Group, Landray MJ, Haynes R, et al. Effects of extended-release niacin with laropiprant in high-risk patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371:203-12. doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1300955.
30. Aim-High Investigators, Boden WE, Probstfield JL, et al. Niacin in patients with low HDL cholesterol levels receiving intensive statin therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365:2255-67. doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1107579.

Partners



In partnership with:



The Ohio Cardiovascular & Diabetes Health Collaborative is funded by the Ohio Department of Medicaid and administered by the Ohio Colleges of Medicine Government Resource Center. The views expressed in this document are solely those of the authors and do not represent the views of the state of Ohio or federal Medicaid programs.